

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

313. The sale confers title good against all the world irrespective of notice. Young v. Kellar, 94 Mo. 581, 7 S. W. 293; Betterton v. Eppstein, 78 Tex. 443, 14 S. W. 361. This answers the trustee's objection based on the rule that the filing of the petition in bankruptcy is a caveat to all the world and in effect an attachment and injunction. Mueller v. Nugent, 184 U. S. 1, 22 Sup. Ct. 269; In re Granite City Bank, 137 Fed. 818. And moreover this rule has been limited by later decisions mentioned with approval in the principal case. York Mfg. Co. v. Cassell, 201 U. S. 344, 353, 26 Sup. Ct. 481, 484; In re Rathman, 183 Fed. 913, 924, 925. With the element of necessity absent, it is submitted that the trustee should prevail, because, whether the bankruptcy proceedings are a caveat or not, the purchaser cannot save himself by the proviso of § 67 f of the Bankruptcy Act, which protects a purchaser for value, who obtains title by an attachment without notice or reasonable cause for inquiry, since the adjudication dissolved the lien and the entire title and interest was vested in the trustee. Bankruptcy Act of 1898, §§ 67, 70. Therefore the purchaser would take nothing which could be protected.

BANKRUPTCY — PREFERENCE — INSURANCE OF PROPERTY PREFERENTIALLY MORTGAGED, AS A PREFERENCE. — An insolvent company mortgaged property under circumstances rendering the mortgage voidable as a preference under § 60 of the Bankruptcy Act of 1898. An insurance policy was taken out, containing a standard mortgagee clause, and the mortgage provided that if the mortgagee should pay any premiums, the sum so paid should be a further lien on the premises. The mortgagee paid all the premiums. After a loss, the insurance company paid the mortgagee. Held, that the mortgagor's trustee in bankruptcy can recover the proceeds of the policy from the mortgagee. Brown City Savings Bank v. Windsor, 198 Fed. 28 (C. C. A., Sixth Circ.). See Notes, p. 362.

BILLS AND NOTES—CERTIFIED CHECKS—EFFECT IN DISCHARGING MAKER WHEN CERTIFIED AT HIS INSTANCE.—The drawer of a check procured its certification by the bank before delivering it to the payee. The bank closed the following day and the check was dishonored on presentment. *Held*, that the drawer is liable on the check. *Davenport* v. *Palmer*, 137 N. Y. Supp. 796

(Sup. Ct., App. Div.).

Certification of a check at the instance of the holder discharges the drawer. Metropolitan National Bank of Chicago v. Jones, 137 Ill. 634, 27 N. E. 533; First National Bank of Jersey City v. Leach, 52 N. Y. 350. By the great weight of authority, however, the drawer is not discharged when the certification has been at his own instance. Born v. First National Bank of Chicago, 123 Ind. 78, 24 N. E. 173; Bickford v. First National Bank of Chicago, 42 III. 238. But see First National Bank of Washington v. Whitman, 94 U.S. 343, 345. Two reasons are advanced for releasing the drawer of a check certified in the holder's hands, while the drawer of a bill of exchange continues secondarily liable after acceptance by the drawee: first, the payee foregoes his right to receive the money due him and takes instead the liability of the bank; second, a certified check is regarded in business practically as a bank note, and as the funds in the bank are beyond the drawer's control presumably the payee does not rely on the drawer's extraordinary liability as surety. The latter reason applies equally to a check certified in the drawer's hands, with such force that the absence of the former reason in addition is not enough to justify the different rules of law. Furthermore, as the instrument is the same on its face in both cases, an indorsee, if the principal case is to be followed, could not know what obligation he is buying, and suit would often have to be brought against the drawer before the facts could be ascertained. See 6 HARV. L. REV. 138. The distinction, however, is embodied in the Negotiable Instruments Law.